1 J Christopher Jorgensen, Bar No. 5382 CJorgensen@lewisroca.com 2 Brittni A. Tanenbaum, Bar No. 16013 BTanenbaum@lewisroca.com 3 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 4 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Tel: 702.949.8200 5 702.949.8398 Fax: 6 Attorneys for Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 William H. Ball, 10 Case No. 2:23-cv-02019-JAD-BNW Plaintiff, 11 UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME v. 12 BARCLAYCARD (SECOND REQUEST) 13 **BARCLAYSUS** 14 A Delaware corporation, Defendant. 15 16 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and R IA 6-1, Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware 17 18 19

("Barclays"), by counsel, respectfully requests a twenty-one (21) day enlargement of the time to respond to the Complaint of Plaintiff William H. Ball in this action ("Plaintiff"). Barclays' response is currently due January 13, 2024 [ECF No. 7]. In support thereof, Defendant states:

- 1. On November 7, 2023, Plaintiff filed his Complaint in the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for Clark County, Nevada.
 - 2. On November 16, 2023, Barclays was served with the Complaint.
- 3. On December 7, 2023, Barclays timely removed the action to this Court [ECF No. 1].
- 4. The undersigned was just recently retained in this action, and requires additional time to investigate all relevant matters, ascertain the veracity of the averments in Plaintiff's Complaint, analyze and ascertain any and all affirmative and other defenses, and otherwise submit a proper response to the Complaint. In addition, the requested enlargement of time will permit

28

123462170.1

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3993 Las V
4
0
80
П
S
X
Щ
7

Defendant	to io	dentify	the	account	and	complete	its	research.	For	these	reasons,	Defendant
respectfully	y requ	iests a tv	went	y-one (2)	l) day	y extension	of t	ime i.e.,	thro	ugh an	d includin	ng February
5, 2024 v	withir	which	to re	espond to	Plai	ntiff's Con	npla	int.				

- 5. This motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of undue delay. This is Defendant's second extension request. No party will be prejudiced by the relief sought.
- 6. Counsel for Barclays conferred with Plaintiff regarding the extension of time requested herein, who confirmed that Plaintiff does not oppose the relief sought.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware respectfully requests that the Court grant this Unopposed Motion and extend the time for Barclays Bank Delaware to respond to the Complaint by and through February 5, 2024.

DATED this 12th day of January, 2024.

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

By: /s/ Brittni A. Tanenbaum

J Christopher Jorgensen (SBN: 5382) Brittni A. Tanenbaum (SBN: 16013) 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169

Attorneys for Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 1/16/2024

123462170.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME on this 12th day of January, 2024, by depositing said copies in the United States Mail, postage prepaid thereon, and via electronic mail upon the following:

> William H. Ball P.O. Box 90051 Henderson, Nevada 89009 951-923-9498 Billball49@verizon.net Plaintiff Pro-se

> > /s/ Stella Yuan An employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie

123462170.1 - 3 -